

FIRST REPORT

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

Financial Management Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Nacogdoches Independent School District FIRST Rating Notice of Public Meeting

Published October 3, 2011 and October 10, 2011 in the Daily Sentinel

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING TO DISCUSS NACOGDOCHES INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT'S STATE FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY RATING

The Nacogdoches Independent School District will hold a public meeting at 5:45 p.m. on Thursday, October 20, 2011 in the Nacogdoches ISD Board Room located in the E J Campbell District Support Center at 511 S University Drive, Nacogdoches, Nacogdoches County, TX. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss Nacogdoches Independent School District's rating on the State's Financial Accountability System.

District Status Detail Page 1 of 4

YEAR 2009-2010

Select An Option









Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2009-2010 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: NACOGDOCHES ISD(174904) Status: Passed		Publication Level 1: 6/17/2011 9:03:31 AM Publication Level 2: 8/31/2011 1:00:45 PM				
Dis	trict Score: 67	Passing Score: 5	56			
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score		
1.	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Re Balance Greater Than Zero In The G	4/25/2011 8:43:55 PM	Yes			
2	Was the Total Unrestricted Net Assertance Accretion of Interest on Capital Apprehim Governmental Activities Column of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If Year % Change in Students was 10%)	4/25/2011 8:43:55 PM	Yes			
3	Were There No Disclosures In The All Report And/Or Other Sources Of Info Concerning Default On Bonded Index Obligations?	4/25/2011 8:43:56 PM	Yes			
1	Was The Annual Financial Report File Month After November 27th or Janua Depending Upon The District's Fiscal (June 30th or August 31st)?	4/29/2011 3:49:47 PM	Yes			
5	Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Report?	4/25/2011 8:43:56 PM	Yes			
5	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses	4/25/2011 8:43:56 PM	Yes			

	Controls?		
			1 Multiplier Sum
7	Did the Districts Academic Rating Exceed Academically Unacceptable?	4/25/2011 8:43:56 PM	5
8	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?	4/25/2011 8:43:57 PM	5
9	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	5/10/2011 10:08:54 PM	0
10	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	4/25/2011 8:43:57 PM	3
11	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	4/25/2011 8:43:57 PM	5
12	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	4/25/2011 8:43:58 PM	5
13	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	4/25/2011 8:43:58 PM	5
14	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	5/10/2011 4:12:43 PM	5
15	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than	4/25/2011 8:43:58 PM	5

District Status Detail Page 3 of 4

	Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)		
16	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Threshold Ratio?	4/25/2011 8:43:59 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/25/2011 8:43:59 PM	5
18	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/25/2011 8:43:59 PM	4
19	Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?	4/25/2011 8:43:59 PM	5
20	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then District Receives 5 Points)	4/25/2011 8:44:00 PM	5
21	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?	4/25/2011 8:44:00 PM	5
22	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) More Than \$20 Per Student?	4/25/2011 8:44:00 PM	0
			67 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			67 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A. Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did The District

District Status Detail Page 4 of 4

	Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, Achievement.	The District's Rating Is Substandard				
В.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-22)					
	Superior Achievement	72-80 and Yes to indicator 7				
	Above Standard Achievement	64-71 or >= 72 and No to indicator 7				
	Standard Achievement	56-63				
	Substandard Achievement	<56 or No to one default indicator				

INDICATOR 17 & 18 RATIOS

Indicator 17	Range: Ratios	s for	Indicator 18	Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 500	7	22	< 500	5	14
500-999	10	22	500-999	5.8	14
1000-4999	11.5	22	1000-4999	6.3	14
5000-9999	13	22	5000-9999	6.8	14
=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	7.0	14

OPTIONS

Update Unpassed Update All Lower Publication Level Suspend Suspension Reason.

 $\textbf{Audit Home Page: } \underline{\textbf{School Financial Audits}} \mid \textbf{Send comments or suggestions to } \underline{\textbf{schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us}}$

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE - AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 - (512) 463-9734

Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period

Ended June 30, 2010

		Mike	Tom	Almarie	T.D.	Jay	Zeke	Matt	Susan
Description of Reimbursements	Dr. Rodney Hutto	Claude	Davis	Henderson	Howarth	Knott	Martinez	Rocco	Rushing
Meals	\$278.13	\$64.14	\$94.46	\$284.98	\$260.46	\$66.60	\$46.35	\$59.33	\$69.10
Lodging	\$1,105.01	\$528.27	\$0.00	\$918.60	\$1,676.52	\$757.92	\$528.27	\$528.27	\$528.27
Transportation	\$1,439.52	\$441.41	\$0.00	\$753.88	\$1,085.21	\$665.31	\$441.41	\$441.41	\$441.41
Motor Fuel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other	\$1,109.00	\$335.00	\$0.00	\$945.00	\$1,230.00	\$1,230.00	\$335.00	\$335.00	\$335.00
Total	\$3,931.66	\$1,368.82	\$94.46	\$2,902.46	\$4,252.19	\$2,719.83	\$1,351.03	\$1,364.01	\$1,373.78

All "reimbursements" expenses, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay,

credit card, cash, and purchase order are to be reported. Items to be reported per category include:

Meals – Meals consumed out of town, and in-district meals at area restaurants (outside of board meetings, excludes catered board meeting meals).

Lodging - Hotel charges.

Transportation - Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental, taxis, mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls).

Motor fuel - Gasoline.

Other: - Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other

reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

Outside Compensation and/or Fees Received by the Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2010 Name(s) of Entity(ies)

Amount Received

0

Total \$0.0

Compensation does not include business revenues generated from a family business (farming, ranching, etc.) that has no relation to school district business.

Gifts Received by Executive Officers and Board Members (and First Degree Relatives, if any) (gifts that had an economic value of \$250 or more in the aggregate in the fiscal year)

For the Twelve-Month Period

Ended June 30, 2010

		IVIIKE	10111	Alliane	1.0.	Jay	Zeke	iviati	Jusan
	Dr. Rodney Hutto	Claude	Davis	Henderson	Howarth	Knott	Martinez	Rocco	Rushing
Total	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.	00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Note – An executive officer is defined as the superintendent, unless the board of trustees or the district administration names additional staff under this classification for local officials.

Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period

Ended June 30, 2010

T.D. Zeke Mike Tom Almarie Jay Matt Susan Claude Davis Henderson Howarth Knott Martinez Rocco Rushing \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00

Amounts \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 **Note** - The summary amounts reported under this disclosure are not to duplicate the items disclosed in the summary schedule of reimbursements received by board members.

$Summary\ Schedule\ of\ Data\ Submitted\ under\ the\ Financial\ Solvency\ Provisions\ of\ TEC\S 39.0822$

General Fund - First-Quarter Expenditures By Object Code

Report 2010-2011 first-quarter (first three months of fiscal year 2010-2011) GENERAL FUND expenditures by object code using whole numbers.

Payroll- Expenditures for payroll costs	object codes 6110-6149	\$ 4,583,778
Contract Costs- Expenditures for services rendered by firms, individuals, and other organizations	object code series 6200	\$ 642,535
Supplies and Materials- Expenditures for supplies and materials necessary to maintain and/or operate		
furniture, computers, equipment, vehicles, grounds, and facilities	object code series 6300	\$ 774,029
Other Operating- Expenditures for items other than payroll, professional and contracted services,		
supplies and materials, debt service, and capital outlay	object code series 6400	\$ 199,818
Debt Service- Expenditures for debt service	object code series 6500	\$ 123,066
Capital Outlay- Expenditures for land, buildings, and equipment	object code series 6600	\$ 375,038

the r 2) fo	chool district raw funds from a shor months of September r the prior fiscal year,	t-term financing note (term less than 12 months) between and December, inclusive, and have a total General Fund balance of less than 2 percent eneral Fund function codes 11-61?	Yes	No
the r 2) fo	chool district raw funds from a shor months of July and Oc r the prior fiscal year,	t-term financing note (term less than 12 months) between stober, inclusive, and have a total General Fund balance of less than 2 percent leneral Fund function codes 11-61?	v	v
2) Has the school district declared finan	ncial exigency within t	he past two years?		v
depletion of General Fund balances, or	any significant discre	os significantly (more than 15%) below the norm, rapid spancies between actual budget figures and projected by be helpful in evaluating the school district's financial		
85%	of Mean Enroll-to-			
	cher Ratio	School District Size		
8.39	7.13	Under 100		
9.48	8.06	100 to 249		
10.73	9.12	250 to 499		
11.48	9.76	500 to 999		
12.45	10.58	1,000 to 1,599		
13.52	11.50	1,600 to 2,999		
14.29	12.15	3,000 to 4,999		
14.80	12.58	5,000 to 9,999		
14.88	12.65	10,000 to 24,999		
15.01	12.76	25,000 to 49,999		
15.06	12.80	50,000 and Over		
70.00				
4) How many superintendents has you	r school district had in	the last five years? 2		
5) How many business managers has y	our school district ha	d in the last five years? 2		